## Supporting Text

## S1 - Filtering by DABG scores

Detection Above BackGround (DABG) scores compare the expression level of the probes within a probeset to a reference pool of GC-content matched background probes that are designed with sequences that are not expected to match with 10% identity to any sequence within the genome. These probes are used to measure non-specific hybridization and the DABG score to assess the signal to noise ratio for each probeset. DABG scores are reported as p-values.

There are typically two variables that influence dataset filtering using DABG p-values. These are: the minimum number of samples declared present  $(f_s)$ , and the p-value threshold used to declare a sample present  $(f_p)$ . DABG calls have been found to be useful in removing poorly performing probesets prior to analysis. Here, we found that the proportion of significant DE probesets (based on t-test p-values) at a given False Discovery Rate (FDR) increases as  $f_p$  decreases and  $f_s$  increases (data not shown). Thus, the more stringent the DABG filtering, the less noisy the resulting dataset. Here, we set  $f_s$  such that at least all samples must be called present in the same group, and  $f_p = 0.01$ .

## S2 - Bootsrapping

In Track 2 of the pipeline, genes having a proportion of DE probesets (relative to the total gene's exonic probesets) higher than a cut-off value are identified as DE. The cut-off value was set by bootstrapping the same number of DE probesets (3,749) from the set of pre-filtered probesets (149,963), up-regulated probesets are selected and further labelled as P-up probesets. In 100 repetitions, the proportion of P-up probesets relative to the total gene's exonic probesets stabilized at 20% with proportion values always lower than 25%.