Table S5: Statistical significance for the PDZ double-switch analysis

| PDZ ${ }^{\text {- }}$-pep | PDZ ${ }^{\text {A }}$-pep ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | PDZ ${ }^{\text {B }}$-pep | PDZ ${ }^{\text {B }}$-pep ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | Count |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | pos(-1) | pos(-3) |
| $x>0$ | $x>0$ | $x>0$ | $x>0$ | 21 | 0 |
| $x>0$ | $x>0$ | $x>0$ | -1 | 14 | 0 |
| $x>0$ | -1 | $x>0$ | $x>0$ |  |  |
| $x>0$ | $x>0$ | -1 | $x>0$ | 51 | 4 |
| -1 | $x>0$ | $x>0$ | $x>0$ |  |  |
| $x>0$ | $x>0$ | -1 | -1 | 8 | 0 |
| -1 | -1 | $x>0$ | $\mathrm{x}>0$ |  |  |
| $x>0$ | -1 | $x>0$ | -1 | 19 | 0 |
| $x>0$ | -1 | -1 | $x>0$ | $60^{(*)}$ | $20^{(*)}$ |
| -1 | $\mathrm{x}>0$ | $\mathrm{x}>0$ | -1 |  |  |
| $x>0$ | -1 | -1 | -1 | 34 | 9 |
| -1 | -1 | $\mathrm{x}>0$ | -1 |  |  |
| -1 | $x>0$ | -1 | $\mathrm{x}>0$ | 27 | 9 |
| -1 | $x>0$ | -1 | -1 | 34 | 9 |
| -1 | -1 | -1 | $\mathrm{x}>0$ |  |  |
| -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 13 | 5 |
|  |  |  | Total | 281 | 56 |

Values of -1 and $>0$ refer to non-binding and binding, respectively. The first four values in each row represent a binding pattern: (1) the binding value for PDZ ${ }^{A}$ with a non-phosphorylated peptide, (2) the binding value for PDZ $^{A}$ with a 'pseudo-phosphorylated' peptide, (3) the binding value for PDZ ${ }^{B}$ with a nonphosphorylated peptide, and (4) the binding value for PDZ ${ }^{B}$ with a 'pseudophosphorylated' peptide. Two complementary patterns (e.g. $-1,>0,>0,-1$ and $>0,-1,-1,>0$ ) are considered as one pattern. The fifth and sixth columns include the number of cases for which the specific binding pattern was found (for peptide pairs that are non-phosphorylated/'pseudo phosphorylated' in position -1 or -3 , respectively).
${ }^{(*)}$ For 'position (-1)' cases, it is expected that at random 28 cases out of 281 ( $10 \%$ ) will show the double switch pattern and 253 cases will show all other patterns. Comparison of the actual counts ( 60 and 221, respectively) to those expected at random is statistically significant ( $p=0.0003$ by Fischer's exact test). Applying the same analysis and test for 'position (-3)' cases also yields statistically significant results ( $p=0.0031$ ). Since we found only one case of a 'position (-2)' double switch, no relevant statistical analysis could be performed.

