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## 1. Materials and Methods

## a. Ligand parameters

The General AMBER Force Field (GAFF)[1] parameters for oseltamivir, zanamivir, and their common reference (Fig. S1A-B) were derived as described in previous publications $[2,3]$ and outlined below. Starting from Simplified Molecular Input Line Entry System (SMILES) strings with proper stereochemical and protonation (zwitterionic) state information [4,5], we employed the OMEGA2 program $[6,7]$ to generate the initial coordinates of inhibitors. The same program was used to sample the configurational space of each molecule by generating a maximum of 99 conformations within $20 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ of each other. Each conformation from the initial ensemble was then geometrically optimized using the Austin Model 1 (AM1) semi-empirical quantum mechanical potential [8] as implemented in the public domain MOPAC7, version 1.11 [9].

The molecules considered here are flexible and must be described by a set of unique conformations to derive conformation-independent partial charges. Optimization of zwitterionic molecules in gas phase often presents a problem due to spurious proton transfers that change the connectivity of atoms within the molecule and quench the charge separation. While zanamivir behaved well during optimization, likely due to the larger delocalization of the positive charge on its guanidinium group, certain conformations of oseltamivir experienced proton transfer from ammonium to the carboxyl group. Conformations resulting from undesirable proton transfers were identified and removed based on energy criteria and visual inspection. Unique conformations were then identified using energy and mass weighted best-fit root mean squared deviations (RMSD) criteria excluding symmetric atoms. Specifically, energies from MOPAC calculations were first converted into Boltzmann weights at 300 K . All the conformations were grouped by their weights using a threshold of $10^{-6}$, and groups were sorted by weights.

Furthermore, RMSDs were scaled by the inverse of the square root of the number of atoms involved. For example, in oseltamivir the twin atoms of the hydrophobic tail, the carboxyl oxygen atoms, the hydrogen atoms of the ammonia and the methyl groups, and the two methylene hydrogens of the six-membered ring were excluded from the RMSD calculation, leaving 21 atoms out of a total of 44 . Within the same weight group, two conformations were considered different if their scaled RMSD exceeded 0.001 nm . Groups with different weights were considered distinct if the lowest scaled RMSD between representative conformations exceeded 0.005 nm . In cases where two groups were deemed identical, the group with the largest Boltzmann weight was retained. Degeneracy of the remaining groups was determined by the number of unique conformers within the group.

The AM1 partial charges from each unique conformation were accumulated into a conformationindependent set of charges using Boltzmann weighting by their AM1 energies at the target temperature of 300 K with appropriate degeneracies. The resulting AM1 charges were symmetrized where applicable and then augmented through the Bond Charge Correction (BCC) procedure $[8,10]$ implemented within the ANTECHAMBER program $[11,12]$ distributed with AMBER TOOLS, version 1.2. The resulting set of AM1BCC charges is expected to reproduce HF/6-31G* RESP charges with good approximation [13,14,15,16].
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Figure S1: (A) Schematic representation of oseltamivir, zanamivir, and the common reference compound. (B) Atom-naming and numbering convention for oseltamivir and zanamivir. Heavy and polar hydrogen atoms are shown using a "stick" model. Carbon, nitrogen, oxygen, and hydrogen atoms are colored yellow, blue, red, and white, respectively.

## b. System setup

The protein systems used in the simulations were derived from the crystal structures of N1 neuraminidase (NA) and its mutants (PDB codes: 2HTY, 3CL0, 3CL2, and 3CKZ) [17]. The program REDUCE [18] was used to add hydrogen atoms and to determine the protonation states of the histidine residues. To optimize hydrogen-bonded networks, 180 degree rotations of the Asn, Gln, and His residues were allowed. All the His residues were then visually inspected along with residues within a $5 \AA$ radius to confirm their protonation states. Finally, disulfide bonds were specified to complete the protein system setup. Solvation effects were modeled using a periodic cubic box of explicit three-point transferable inter-molecular potential (TIP3P) water molecules that provided at least $10 \AA$-thick padding of the solute. The systems were solvated using the LEAP program from the AMBER TOOLS [19].

## c. Molecular dynamics simulations

Production runs were performed in the NPT ensemble at temperature T $=300 \mathrm{~K}$ and pressure $P=$ 1 atm , following an equilibration protocol described in our previous work [2]. The program GROMACS version 4.0.5 (single precision) was used to run all the simulations. For temperature and pressure control, we employed Langevin thermostat and Berendsen barostat [20,21,22,23] with identical collision frequencies of $2 \mathrm{ps}^{-1}$. All bonds involving hydrogen atoms were constrained throughout the simulations using LINCS [24] and the integration time step was set to 2 fs. The Particle Mesh Ewald (PME) approach was used to compute the electrostatics [20,21,22,23] with a 1 nm real space cutoff. We used the same cutoff for the van der Waals interactions that were switched off for the range between 0.8 and 0.9 nm .

## d. Thermodynamic Integration setup

We employed a recently developed variant of Thermodynamic Integration (TI), namely the Single Reference TI (SRTI), optionally augmented with Hamiltonian Replica Exchange (HREX) $[2,3]$. For all the SRTI simulations reported in this work, we used soft-core potentials with $p=1$ (refer to GROMACS manual for notation used in soft-core potentials) and previously optimized values [3,25] of $\alpha=0.4$ and $\sigma=0.25$.

Each SRTI simulation employed identical starting configurations across all windows. For each window, values of $\frac{\partial V}{\partial \lambda}$ were recorded at every time step. The mean values $\left\langle\frac{\partial V}{\partial \lambda}\right\rangle$ for all the windows were assembled into the final work using the Fourier Beads integration procedure described earlier [2]. The final relative binding free energies were reported with respect to the wild type (WT) enzyme. The standard deviations were derived using at least two independent simulations in each leg of the thermodynamic cycle.

## e. Reference states for SRTI simulations

The real and reference states of each system correspond to values 0 and 1 of the Hamiltonian coupling parameter $\lambda$, respectively. Based on the choice of the reference state, our calculations were split into two approaches, namely SRMM and SRSM.

In the SRMM approach, we used an artificial reference protein by itself or in complex with an artificial reference ligand. In this case, the complexes of all the mutants with all the ligands studied or the mutants by themselves can be mapped to the SRMM reference state. To achieve that, we first derived parameters for a simpler (stripped down) molecule (Fig. S1A). Unlike the inhibitors, the reference molecule was neither chiral nor zwitterionic. Furthermore, it lacked the tail and its six-membered ring did not have any oxygen atoms and was not saturated. The only
functional groups preserved from the inhibitors were the carboxyl and the amide. This special molecule provided the foundation for our unphysical reference ligand. However, many other molecules could have been used here as well. The same atoms of the reference ligand must be mapped to both inhibitors for SRTI to work. All the remaining unmapped atoms of the reference ligand would "collapse" onto the nearest mapped atoms. If all atoms of the reference molecule were mapped, then the reference state would correspond to a real state.

In devising the SRMM protein reference state suitable for mapping all of the mutants of interest in addition to WT, we looked for standard residues that would allow us to map all the heavy atoms to the residues involved in the mutations. Thus, we chose an Asn residue to map mutations involving changes of Y to H and H to Y , and a Ser residue for changing N to S . Just like in the case with the reference ligand, any unmapped atom of the reference residues was collapsed onto the nearest mapped heavy atom, producing a 'pseudo' residue. Thus, for the SRMM approach, where all three mutations needed to be mapped to the same reference protein, we used the unphysical triple mutant $\mathrm{Y} 252 \mathrm{~N}^{\mathrm{p}}: \mathrm{H} 274 \mathrm{~N}^{\mathrm{p}}: \mathrm{N} 294 \mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{p}}$ as the reference protein.

In devising the SRSM protein state, each mutation was considered separately. Therefore, the SRSM approach employed a different reference state for each mutant. The SRSM reference states corresponded to the three single mutants $\mathrm{Y} 252 \mathrm{~N}^{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{H} 274 \mathrm{~N}^{\mathrm{p}}$, and $\mathrm{N} 294 \mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{p}}$. Note that the ligands were not mapped to any reference ligand in SRSM.

Mol2 files are provided in appendices 1-3 for the oseltamivir, zanamivir and reference core ligands with the conformation independent AM1BCC charges. Files containing the mappings of the protein residues and those of the ligands to the reference states used in alchemical transformations are also provided in appendices 4 and 5.


Figure S2: (A) Thermodynamic schema used in the SRMM approach. (B) Thermodynamic schema used in the SRSM approach.

## f. Regular SRTI simulations

To obtain the alchemical free energies or reversible works, the corresponding SRTI transformations employed $m=16$ equally separated $\lambda$-windows. Coordinates of the system were saved every 1,000 steps ( 2 ps ).

## g. HREX-SRTI simulations

To run HREX-SRTI simulations, we employed an in-house PERL script interfaced with GROMACS. Replica exchanges were attempted every 500 steps ( 1 ps ). For these simulations, exchanges were attempted a total of 4,000 times, resulting in 4 ns -long simulations of each window. Each HREX run was carried out using $m=31$ windows. Coordinates of the system for subsequent analyses were recorded every 1 ps .

## h. Estimation of free energy changes from the experimental measurements

Collins and coworkers [17] used a simple model for competitive inhibition of an enzyme (see supplemental Fig. S1 of the above-mentioned reference) to interpret the NA enzymatic activity data obtained from fluorescent measurements. Based on such a model, they derived a series of activity, binding, and kinetic parameters for N1 NA WT and mutants (listed in Table 1 of Collins et al. [17]). Among the magnitudes of interest, relative $K_{I}$ values $\left(K_{I}^{\text {rel }}\right)$ for three different mutants ( $M$ ) with respect to wild type ( $W$ ) were provided for oseltamivir and zanamivir, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{I}^{r e l}=\frac{K_{I}^{M}}{K_{I}^{W}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{I}^{W}$ values are 0.32 nM for oseltamivir and 0.1 nM for zanamivir [17]. The inhibitory constant, $K_{I}$, for a particular inhibitor is related to binding free energy, $\Delta G$, by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{b}=1 / K_{I}=e^{-\Delta G / R T} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{b}$ is the association constant, $R$ is the gas constant, and $T$ the temperature in Kelvin. By combining equations (1) and (2) and performing few mathematical operations, we can obtain an experimental estimate of the change in binding affinity, $\Delta \Delta G^{W} \rightarrow M$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \Delta G^{W \rightarrow M}=\Delta G^{M}-\Delta G^{W}=R T \ln K_{I}^{M}-R T \ln K_{I}^{W}=R T \ln K_{I}^{r e l} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## i. Single reference multiple mutants (SRMM) approach

The computation of $\Delta \Delta G$ values can be derived by using the thermodynamic cycle shown in Fig. S2A. Such an approach allows for comparison of changes in free energies between pairs of proteins ( $M_{i}, M_{j}$, e.g., mutant and/or WT enzymes) bound to the same or different ligands ( $I_{k}, I_{l}$ ), and the $\Delta \Delta G^{M_{i} \rightarrow M_{j}}\left(I_{k}, I_{l}\right)$ values can be computed from the relation:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta \Delta G^{M_{i} \rightarrow M_{j}}\left(I_{k}, I_{l}\right) & =\Delta G_{f c}^{M_{j}-I_{l}}-\Delta G_{f c}^{M_{i}-I_{k}}  \tag{4}\\
& =\Delta G_{f r}^{I_{l}}-\Delta G_{f r}^{I_{k}}+\Delta G_{f r}^{M_{j}}-\Delta G_{f r}^{M_{i}}+\Delta G_{c r}^{M_{i}-I_{k}}-\Delta G_{c r}^{M_{j}-I_{l}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta G_{f_{c}}^{M_{j}-I_{t}}$ is the free energy change due to the formation of the complex of the protein $M_{j}$ with the ligand/inhibitor $I_{l}, \Delta G_{f r}^{M_{j}}$ is the change in free energy for the transformation of protein $M_{j}$ from its free $(f)$ state in solution to the reference state $r, \Delta G_{f r}^{I_{l}}$ is the change in free energy for the transformation of the free ligand/inhibitor $I_{l}$ from its free state in solution to the reference state $r$, and $\Delta G_{c r}{ }^{M_{j}-I_{l}}$ is the change in free energy for the transformation of the protein $M_{j}$ and ligand $I_{l}$ in complex from its state in solution (c) to the reference state $r^{r}$. To implement this approach, single reference states common to (a) all free ligands, (b) all free proteins, and (c) all protein-ligand complexes must be generated. Two simulations are required to calculate the free
energy change along the alchemical reaction converting the WT protein to the reference state in the bound and unbound form. Each $\Delta \Delta G$ estimate requires two subsequent simulations to convert the mutant protein to the reference state in the bound and unbound form.

## j. Single reference single mutant (SRSM) approach

An alternative approach can be carried out by considering the thermodynamic cycle depicted in Fig. S2B. Given an inhibitor $I$, such an approach allows for the computation of $\Delta \Delta G$ between two proteins (e.g., the WT enzyme $W$ and a specific mutant $M$ ) as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \Delta G^{W \rightarrow M}(I)=\Delta G_{f c}^{M-I}-\Delta G_{f c}^{W-I}=\Delta G_{f r}^{M}-\Delta G_{f r}^{W}+\Delta G_{c r^{\prime}}^{W-I}-\Delta G_{c r^{\prime}}^{M-I} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Implementation of this approach requires the construction of common reference states for (a) the free WT enzyme and the mutant and (b) the complexes of the WT enzyme and the mutant with the inhibitor. Since the inhibitor remains unaltered, we note that in this case the reference state for the free inhibitor and the state of the free inhibitor in solution are identical (i.e., the term $\Delta G_{f r}^{I}$ in Fig. S2B is null). Consequently, four simulations were required to compute a single estimate of $\Delta \Delta G^{W \rightarrow M}(I)$, two involving the alchemic reactions of converting the free WT enzyme and the free mutant to the common reference state $r$ and two for converting the complexes of (a) the inhibitor bound to the WT and (b) mutant to a common state $r^{r}$.

## k. Estimation of the binding affinity using the Molecular Mechanics - Poisson Boltzmann

## Surface Area / Generalized Born Surface Area (MM-PBSA/GBSA) method

A utility PERL script provided with the LEAD software was used to merge the data associated with the different replicas from a given HREX-SRTI run into a single trajectory consisting of snapshots taken every 1 ps. Trajectories from the different runs were used in subsequent
analyses. The MM-PBSA/GBSA method [26], as implemented in Amber10, was used to obtain additional estimates of the changes in binding free energy. All trajectories from the runs corresponding to complexes of oseltamivir and zanamivir with the WT and mutant NA molecules were used. The MM-PBSA/GBSA calculations were carried out by including all frames from our SRSM trajectories.

The binding free energy $\Delta G^{M-I}$ associated with the formation of the complex of protein $M$ and inhibitor $I$ was computed according to the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta G^{M-I}=G_{c}^{M-I}-G_{f}^{M}-G_{f}^{I} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{c}^{M-I}, G_{f}^{M}$, and $G_{f}^{I}$ are the free energies of the complex, the protein free in solution and the ligand free in solution, respectively. Following the MM/PBSA approach, the free energy of a given component $x$ (i.e., protein, inhibitor, or protein-inhibitor complex) was computed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{x}=H_{\text {gas }}^{x}+G_{s o l v}^{x}-T S \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{\text {gas }}^{x}$ was computed as the energy of component $x$ in the gas phase, $T S$ is the entropic contribution of $x$ at temperature $T$, and $G_{\text {solv }}^{x}$ represents the solvation free energy of $x$ that includes polar and non-polar solvation energy. It was computed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\text {solv }}^{x}=G_{\text {surf }}^{x}+G_{P B}^{x} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $G_{\text {surf }}^{x}=\gamma A+b$ being the nonpolar solvation term, where $A$ is the solvent accessible surface of component $x$, and $\gamma=0.00542$ and $b=0.92$ are constants, and the term $G_{P B}^{x}$ accounts for the
electrostatic interaction between solute and solvent. Evaluation of the entropic contribution related to equation (7), $T \Delta S$, was carried out with the NMODE module from Amber10 using snapshots taken from each trajectory every 500 ps .

## l. Estimation of the binding affinity using RosettaInterface

RosettaInterface [27] uses computational mutagenesis to predict the change in binding free energy of a protein-protein interaction associated with a point mutation of an interface residue. This algorithm has been used in a wide range of applications, including hotspot detection [27], predictive docking [28], and validation of predicted protein interactions [29]. We adapted the RosettaInterface algorithm that was designed for protein-protein interactions to predict binding free energy changes in protein-ligand interactions. We implemented the algorithm using a PyRosetta [30] script in the Rosetta v3.3 software package [31]. We kept the RosettaInterface algorithm essentially unchanged but used the parameterization and score functions from RosettaLigand [32] to model protein-ligand interactions.

In RosettaInterface, the same refinement protocol was applied to both the wild type ( $W$ ) and mutant ( $M$ ) complexes, after which the binding energy was calculated for each complex. Prior to refinement, the $M$ complex was created by applying the necessary mutation to the $W$ complex using Rosetta's Monte Carlo-simulated annealing side-chain packer [33]. During refinement the protein-ligand interfaces were optimized using the side-chain packer under the RosettaLigand ligand_soft_rep score function. The resulting refined complexes serve as 'bound' structures for the binding energy calculation. Unbound structures were generated starting from the bound structure and translating the ligand $500 \AA$ away. The change in binding free energy, $\Delta \Delta G^{W \rightarrow M}(I)$, is then calculated using the same score function used in the refinement:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \Delta G^{W \rightarrow M}(I)=\left(\Delta G_{c}^{M-I}-\Delta G_{f}^{M}\right)-\left(\Delta G_{c}^{W-I}-\Delta G_{f}^{W}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta G_{c}^{M-I}$ and $\Delta G_{c}^{W-I}$ are the free energy changes due to the formation of the complexes of the mutant $M$ with inhibitor $I$, and the $W$ enzyme with inhibitor $I$, respectively, and $\Delta G_{f}^{M}$ and $\Delta G_{f}^{W}$ are the free energy changes associated with the formation of the free mutant and WT enzymes, respectively.

Two starting structures were used based on the crystal structures of N1 NA N294S bound to oseltamivir and N1 NA H274Y bound to zanamivir [17]. Oseltamivir and zanamivir were parameterized with default settings using the molfile_to_params.py script in Rosetta v3.3. The side-chain packer used an expanded rotamer library that also included additional rotamers representing the initial crystallographic side-chain conformations [34]. We carried out the RosettaInterface algorithm ten independent times and both the means and standard deviations of $\Delta \Delta G^{W \rightarrow M}(I)$ are listed in Table 1. RosettaInterface calculated the WT binding energy as $-11.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ and $-12.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for oseltamivir and zanamivir, respectively. The predicted $\Delta \Delta G$ of the mutants ranged from $-0.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ to $+1.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. A weak correlation was observed between the predicted and experimentally determined $\Delta \Delta G$ for oseltamivir $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.77\right)$ and no correlation was observed for zanamivir $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.05\right)$. The lack of significant correlation is unsurprising because RosettaInterface was primarily intended to classify mutations as stabilizing or destabilizing, and not to quantitatively predict the experimental $\Delta \Delta G$.

## 2. Key NA-inhibitor interactions

Zanamivir and oseltamivir are both sialic acid derivatives and share many common interactions with NA (see Fig. S3). However, there are also several differences, some of which have not been
previously observed in the crystal structures [17]. A triad of positively charged residues, R118, R292, and R371, played a major role in zanamivir binding, forming salt bridges with the carboxyl group at C 1 . In contrast, the C 1 carboxyl group of oseltamivir primarily interacted with R292 and R371, with R118 contributing little to the binding energy. A group of negatively charged residues, D151, E227, and E119, interacted with the C4 ammonium group of oseltamivir, forming particularly strong interactions with E119. In zanamivir, the corresponding guanidinium group interacted primarily with E119 and E227 but not D151. The charged residues R224, E276, and E277 formed a network of hydrogen bonds with the polar tail of zanamivir, while the nonpolar tail of oseltamivir formed only weakly stabilizing interactions with E277 and the nearby I222. Finally, there was an extensive network of intramolecular hydrogen bonds and salt bridges among binding site residues that could play a significant role in mediating drug resistance.


Figure S3: Interaction map of NA with zanamivir (A) and oseltamivir (B) based on MM-GBSA binding energy decomposition of composite WT SRSM/HREX trajectories. Residues are colored by type: red (negatively charged), blue (positively charged), purple (polar), and gray (nonpolar).

Salt bridges are denoted by blue dotted lines, hydrogen bonds by orange dotted lines. The contributions of each residue to the MM-GBSA binding energy is depicted with contours of different thickness as specified in the legend. Select chemical groups on the inhibitor are noted as squares with the same color theme as the protein residues.

## 3. The H274Y mutant: Constraint imposed on the $\chi_{1}$ dihedral angle of E276 upon mutation

Figure S4 shows the distribution of the $\chi_{1}$ dihedral angle of E276 for runs involving the WT and the H274Y mutant. Two peaks around $\chi_{1} \sim 60^{\circ}$ and $\chi_{1} \sim-60^{\circ}$ were observed in the distribution for free WT (red line), with gauche+ and gauche- conformations evenly visited. In complexes of the WT with both oseltamivir and zanamivir, the gauche + conformation around $\chi_{1}$ is generally preferred. A third small peak is present for $\chi_{1} \sim-160^{\circ}$ in runs of the WT enzyme with oseltamivir. The $\chi_{1}$ value observed in the experimental structure [17] 2HTY for the free WT is $-89^{\circ}$. The introduction of the H274Y mutation leads to a dramatic shift in the accessible space of the E276 side chain. As shown in Fig. S4 (light-blue and orange lines), the $\chi_{1}$ dihedral angle distributions are practically flat around $\chi_{1}=-60^{\circ}$ for both oseltamivir and zanamivir, indicating that such a region is highly restricted to the GLU side chain in the mutant. The value of $\chi_{1}$ derived from the experimental structure of the complex of the H247Y mutant with oseltamivir (PDB code: 3CL0) [17] is $-157^{\circ}$, while that obtained from the experimental structure of the H247Y mutant in complex with zanamivir (PDB code: 3CKZ) [17] is $-167^{\circ}$.

The trans conformation $\left(\sim 180^{\circ}\right)$ of the dihedral angle $\chi_{1}$ of E276 showed increase sampling in runs involving the H274Y mutant as compared to those corresponding to complexes of the WT enzyme. However, we observed that the gauche + conformation, which was not detected experimentally, was the most dominant one in our simulations. While it is possible that the
disagreement is due to inaccuracy in the forcefield parameters, visual inspection of the superposition of a few conformations from the molecular dynamics trajectories onto the X-ray structures 2HTY and 3CKZ shows (Figs. S5A-B) that the side chain of E276 in gauche+ conformation can fit quite well in the electron density maps of the two experimental structures. In either the gauche+ or trans conformation, the carboxyl group of E176 is found displaced toward the center of the binding site in the H274Y mutant.

## Distribution of E276 $\chi_{1}$ torsion



Figure S4: Distribution of the $\chi_{1}$ dihedral angle of residue E276 for the WT free enzyme, and the WT and H274Y mutant enzymes in complexes with oseltamivir and zanamivir derived from runs produced with the $S R S M$ approach. The $\chi_{1}$ values observed in the experimental structures are (a) WT NA free enzyme: $-89^{\circ}(2 \mathrm{HTY})$ [17] (the red arrow indicates the approximate position of the
experimental value); (b) WT NA in complexes with oseltamivir [35]: $-86^{\circ}$ (2HU0) and $-108^{\circ}$ (2HU4) (the blue arrow indicates the approximate position of the average experimental value); (c) WT NA in complexes with zanamivir: - $61^{\circ}$ (3B7E) [36] indicated with a brown arrow; (d) the H274Y mutant in complex with oseltamivir: $-157^{\circ}$ (3CL0) [17] indicated with a green arrow; and (e) the H274Y mutant in complex with zanamivir: $-167^{\circ}$ (3CKZ) [17] indicated with an orange arrow.


Figure S5: Comparison of E276 conformations from simulations and experiments. (A) Stereo view of the superposition of residues 274-277 of NA from the experimental structure 2 HTY (carbon atoms colored green) and a snapshot (carbon atoms shown in yellow) from one of the SRSM trajectories. The snapshot shows the side chain of E276 in the gauche + conformation, which is the most preferred according to the simulations. Both experimental and calculated conformers of the E276 side chain fit well in the electron density map of 2HTY. (B) Stereo view
of the superposition of residues 274-277 of NA from the experimental structure 3CKZ (carbon atoms colored green) and a snapshot (carbon atoms shown in cyan) from one of the SRSM trajectories. The snapshot shows the side chain of E276 in the gauche + conformation, which is the most preferred according to the simulations. Both experimental and calculated conformers of the E276 side chain fit well in the electron density map of 3CKZ.

## 4. The N294S mutation: The Y347 side chain

Figure 5C-D shows the distribution of distances between the $\mathrm{Y} 347 \mathrm{O}_{\eta}$ atom and $\mathrm{N}_{\eta}$ atoms of R292 and R371 obtained from our SRSM simulations. The Y347 $\mathrm{O}_{\eta}$ atom in the WT enzyme tended to interact persistently with the carboxyl group of the inhibitors, thus remaining in close proximity to R292 and R371 (Fig. 5C). The N294S mutation modified the conformational preference of the backbone of Y 347 by bringing its $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ group toward R 292 . The new backbone conformation of Y347 in turns affected the dynamics of the TYR side chain, as shown by the different distance patterns presented in Fig. 5D. However, it must be noted that the conformations accessible to Y347 in the N294S mutant seem different from those reported earlier [37]. We observed that the "out" conformations described by Lawrenz et al. [37] were rarely accessed in our simulations. Instead, conformations in which the phenol group and the carboxyl group of the ligands did not form H-bonds tended to keep the aromatic tyrosine ring near the binding pocket and inhibitors.

Finally, it is worth noting that the effect of the Y347 side chain on resistance is suspect. Indeed, certain strains of N1 have asparagine in place of tyrosine. Among the most notable strains are the recombinant viruses associated with the Spanish flu of 1918 that were found to be sensitive in
both tissue culture and mice to oseltamivir [38]. In those NAs, the side chain of the N347 residue does not interact directly with the carboxyl group of the inhibitor (e.g., PDB: 3B7E) [36].
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## Appendix 1: mol2 file for oseltamivir

@<TRIPOS>MOLECULE
UNK
$\begin{array}{lllll}44 & 44 & 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}$
SMALL
No Charge or Current Charge


| 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 2 | 5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 3 | 17 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 3 | 18 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 4 | 9 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 4 | 15 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 4 | 19 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 5 | 8 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 6 | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 6 | 20 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 7 | 8 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 7 | 15 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 8 | 16 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 10 | 12 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 11 | 13 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 12 | 14 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 13 | 14 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 14 | 20 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 1 | 21 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | 5 | 22 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 5 | 23 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | 6 | 24 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 7 | 25 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | 8 | 26 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 9 | 27 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | 9 | 28 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | 9 | 29 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 10 | 30 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | 10 | 31 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | 10 | 32 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | 11 | 33 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 34 | 11 | 34 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | 11 | 35 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | 12 | 36 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 37 | 12 | 37 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 38 | 13 | 38 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 39 | 13 | 39 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | 14 | 40 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | 15 | 41 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 42 | 16 | 42 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 43 | 16 | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 | 16 | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |
| @<TRIPOS>SUBSTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  | 0 | **** | **** |  |

## Appendix 2: mol2 file for zanamivir

```
@<TRIPOS>MOLECULE
UNK
```

| 43 | 43 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

SMALL
No Charge or Current Charge

| $@<$ TRIPOS $>$ ATOM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C1 |  | -0.8960 | 1.9140 | $-1.0400 \mathrm{c} 2$ | 1 UNK | -0.406952 |
| 2 | C2 |  | 0.4530 | 1.9140 | -1.0400 ce | 1 UNK | 0.067843 |
| 3 | C3 |  | 1.3270 | 3.1900 | $-1.0400 \mathrm{c}$ | 1 UNK | 0.940133 |
| 4 | C4 |  | -2.1850 | -2.0120 | 1.2060 c | 1 UNK | 0.680510 |
| 5 | C5 |  | -1.9100 | 1.9850 | 1.7390 cz | 1 UNK | 0.484163 |
| 6 | C6 |  | -1.6920 | 0.8060 | -0.4560 c3 | 1 UNK | 0.074197 |
| 7 | C7 |  | -0.7640 | -0.3860 | -0.0010 c3 | 1 UNK | 0.040044 |
| 8 | C8 |  | 0.4660 | -0.4500 | -0.9340 c3 | 1 UNK | 0.092881 |
| 9 | C9 |  | -2.6760 | -3.4340 | 1.2840 c 3 | 1 UNK | -0.176203 |
|  | C10 |  | 3.2300 | -0.4420 | -2.1260 c3 | 1 UNK | 0.112979 |
|  | C11 |  | 1.4940 | -1.5210 | -0.5270 c3 | 1 UNK | 0.126826 |
|  | C12 |  | 2.5640 | -1.7280 | -1.6190 c3 | 1 UNK | 0.095073 |
|  | N13 |  | -1.1110 | 3.0650 | 1.5350 nh | 1 UNK | -0.467873 |
|  | N14 |  | -2.2750 | 1.6450 | 3.0320 nh | 1 UNK | -0.528014 |
|  | N15 |  | -1.4890 | -1.6310 | 0.0770 n | 1 UNK | -0.559251 |
| 16 | N16 |  | -2.5120 | 1.2820 | 0.6670 nh | 1 UNK | -0.333106 |
| 17 | 017 |  | 0.8290 | 4.1170 | -0.3430 o | 1 UNK | -0.774520 |
| 18 | 018 |  | 2.4180 | 3.1600 | -1.6420 | 1 UNK | -0.774520 |
|  | 019 |  | -2.4300 | -1.1870 | 2.1180 - | 1 UNK | -0.681643 |
|  | 020 |  | 1.1900 | 0.7850 | -0.8200 os | 1 UNK | -0.306835 |
|  | 021 |  | 3.7210 | 0.3090 | -1.0340 oh | 1 UNK | -0.633136 |
| 22 | 022 |  | 2.0810 | -1.2050 | 0.7170 oh | 1 UNK | -0.606048 |
| 23 | 023 |  | 3.5350 | -2.6340 | -1.1310 oh | 1 UNK | -0.595939 |
|  | H1 |  | -1.4480 | 2.7980 | -1.3930 ha | 1 UNK | 0.170637 |
|  | H6 |  | -2.4480 | 0.4350 | -1.2140 h1 | 1 UNK | 0.089011 |
|  | H7 |  | -0.3580 | -0.1490 | 1.0340 h 1 | 1 UNK | 0.085264 |
|  | H8 |  | 0.1450 | -0.5880 | -2.0010 h1 | 1 UNK | 0.069342 |
|  | H91 |  | -1.8070 | -4.1330 | 1.3610 hc | 1 UNK | 0.076184 |
|  | H92 |  | -3.3130 | -3.5470 | 2.1950 hc | 1 UNK | 0.076184 |
|  | H93 |  | -3.2800 | -3.7000 | 0.3830 hc | 1 UNK | 0.076184 |
|  | H10 |  | 2.5000 | 0.1480 | -2.7380 h1 | 1 UNK | 0.053724 |
|  | H3 |  | 4.1140 | -0.7270 | -2.7610 h1 | 1 UNK | 0.053724 |
|  | H11 |  | 0.9810 | -2.5080 | -0.3500 h1 | 1 UNK | 0.071787 |
|  | H12 |  | 2.0960 | -2.2600 | -2.4950 h1 | 1 UNK | 0.069070 |
|  | H13 |  | -0.7060 | 3.5430 | 2.3090 hn | 1 UNK | 0.344520 |
|  | H4 |  | -0.7680 | 3.3450 | 0.6160 hn | 1 UNK | 0.344520 |
|  | H14 |  | -1.9590 | 2.1760 | 3.8070 hn | 1 UNK | 0.313395 |
|  | H5 |  | -2.6980 | 0.7590 | 3.2070 hn | 1 UNK | 0.313395 |
|  | H15 |  | -1.2850 | -2.3180 | -0.6110 hn | 1 UNK | 0.321056 |
|  | H16 |  | -3.1460 | 0.5630 | 0.9790 hn | 1 UNK | 0.280645 |
|  | H21 |  | 3.3860 | 1.2190 | -1.1280 ho | 1 UNK | 0.455524 |
|  | H22 |  | 2.6210 | -0.4070 | 0.5940 ho | 1 UNK | 0.440732 |
|  | H23 |  | 3.9880 | -2.1880 | -0.4010 ho | 1 UNK | 0.424498 |
| @<TRIPOS ${ }^{\text {d }}$ BOND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1 | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1 | 24 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 2 | 20 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 3 | 17 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 3 | 18 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 4 | 9 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 4 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |


| 10 | 4 | 19 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 5 | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 6 | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 6 | 25 |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 7 | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 7 | 26 |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 8 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 8 | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | 8 | 27 |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 9 | 28 |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | 9 | 29 |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 9 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | 10 | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 10 | 21 |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | 10 | 31 |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | 10 | 32 |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 11 | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | 11 | 22 |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | 11 | 33 |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | 12 | 23 |  |  |  |  |
| 34 | 12 | 34 |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | 13 | 35 |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 | 14 | 37 |  |  |  |  |
| 38 | 14 | 38 |  |  |  |  |
| 39 | 15 | 39 |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | 16 | 40 |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | 21 | 41 |  |  |  |  |
| 42 | 22 | 42 |  |  |  |  |
| 43 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |
| @<TRIPOS>SUBSTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | NK |  |  | 0 | **** |  |

## Appendix 3: mol2 file for core-ligand



## Appendix 4: mapping files for SRMM calculations

NOTE: Since 80 N -terminal residues are missing in the experimental structures, residues 252 , 274 and 294 in the original NA sequences are labeled as $172,194,214$, respectively in the following files.

## WT to core

| 172:TYR:C-> | 172:ASN: C |
| :---: | :---: |
| 172:TYR:O-> | 172:ASN: O |
| 172:TYR:N-> | 172:ASN:N |
| 172:TYR:H-> | 172:ASN: H |
| 172:TYR:CA-> | 172 : ASN: CA |
| 172:TYR: HA-> | 172:ASN: HA |
| 172:TYR: CB-> | 172:ASN: CB |
| 172:TYR:2HB-> | 172:ASN: 2HB |
| 172:TYR:3HB-> | 172:ASN: 3HB |
| 172:TYR: CG-> | 172 : ASN: CG |
| 172:TYR:CD1-> | 172:ASN: OD1 |
| 172:TYR:CD2-> | 172:ASN:ND2 |
| 194:HIP:C-> | 194:ASN: C |
| 194:HIP:O-> | 194:ASN: 0 |
| 194:HIP:N-> | 194:ASN:N |
| 194:HIP:H-> | 194:ASN:H |
| 194:HIP:CA-> | 194 : ASN: CA |
| 194: HIP: HA-> | 194 : ASN: HA |
| 194: HIP:CB-> | 194 : ASN: CB |
| 194 : HIP:2HB-> | 194:ASN: 2HB |
| 194: HIP:3HB-> | 194:ASN: 3HB |
| 194:HIP:CG-> | 194 : ASN: CG |
| 194:HIP:ND1-> | 194:ASN:OD1 |
| 194: HIP:CD2-> | 194:ASN:ND2 |
| 214 : ASN: C-> | 214:SER: C |
| 214 :ASN: O-> | 214:SER: 0 |
| 214:ASN:N-> | 214:SER:N |
| 214 : ASN: H-> | 214:SER:H |
| 214 :ASN: CA-> | 214 : SER: CA |
| 214 : ASN: HA-> | 214 : SER: HA |
| 214 :ASN: CB-> | 214 : SER: CB |
| 214 : ASN: 2HB-> | 214:SER:2HB |
| 214:ASN: 3HB-> | 214:SER:3HB |
| 214 : ASN: CG-> | 214:SER:OG |

```
mutant H274Y to core
```

```
172:TYR:N-> 172:ASN:N
```

172:TYR:N-> 172:ASN:N
172:TYR:H-> 172:ASN:H
172:TYR:CA-> 172:ASN:CA
172:TYR:HA-> 172:ASN:HA
172:TYR:CB-> 172:ASN:CB
172:TYR:2HB-> 172:ASN:2HB
172:TYR:3HB-> 172:ASN:3HB
172:TYR:CG-> 172:ASN:CG

```
```

172:TYR:CD1-> 172:ASN:OD1
172:TYR:CD2-> 172:ASN:ND2
172:TYR:C-> 172:ASN:C
172:TYR:O-> 172:ASN:O
194:TYR:N-> 194:ASN:N
194:TYR:H-> 194:ASN:H
194:TYR:CA-> 194:ASN:CA
194:TYR:HA-> 194:ASN:HA
194:TYR:CB-> 194:ASN:CB
194:TYR:2HB-> 194:ASN:2HB
194:TYR:3HB-> 194:ASN:3HB
194:TYR:CG-> 194:ASN:CG
194:TYR:CD1-> 194:ASN:OD1
194:TYR:CD2-> 194:ASN:ND2
194:TYR:C-> 194:ASN:C
194:TYR:O-> 194:ASN:O
214:ASN:N-> 214:SER:N
214:ASN:H-> 214:SER:H
214:ASN:CA-> 214:SER:CA
214:ASN:HA-> 214:SER:HA
214:ASN:CB-> 214:SER:CB
214:ASN:2HB-> 214:SER:2HB
214:ASN:3HB-> 214:SER:3HB
214:ASN:CG-> 214:SER:OG
214:ASN:C-> 214:SER:C
214:ASN:O-> 214:SER:O

```

\section*{mutant N294S to core}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 172:TYR:C-> & 172:ASN: C \\
\hline 172:TYR:O-> & 172:ASN: O \\
\hline 172:TYR:N-> & 172:ASN:N \\
\hline 172:TYR:H-> & 172:ASN: H \\
\hline 172:TYR:CA-> & 172:ASN: CA \\
\hline 172:TYR: HA-> & 172 : ASN: HA \\
\hline 172:TYR:CB-> & 172:ASN: CB \\
\hline 172:TYR:2HB-> & 172:ASN: 2 HB \\
\hline 172:TYR:3HB-> & 172:ASN: 3HB \\
\hline 172:TYR:CG-> & 172:ASN: CG \\
\hline 172:TYR:CD1-> & 172:ASN: OD1 \\
\hline 172:TYR:CD2-> & 172:ASN:ND2 \\
\hline 194:HIP:C-> & 194:ASN: C \\
\hline 194:HIP:O-> & 194:ASN: O \\
\hline 194:HIP:N-> & 194:ASN:N \\
\hline 194:HIP:H-> & 194:ASN:H \\
\hline 194:HIP:CA-> & 194 : ASN: CA \\
\hline 194:HIP:HA-> & 194 : ASN: HA \\
\hline 194:HIP:CB-> & 194 : ASN: CB \\
\hline 194:HIP:2HB-> & 194:ASN: 2 HB \\
\hline 194: HIP:3HB-> & 194:ASN: 3HB \\
\hline 194:HIP:CG-> & 194:ASN: CG \\
\hline 194:HIP:ND1-> & 194:ASN: OD1 \\
\hline 194: HIP: CD2-> & 194:ASN:ND2 \\
\hline 214:SER: C-> & 214:SER: C \\
\hline 214:SER:O-> & 214:SER: 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

214:SER:N-> 214:SER:N
214:SER:H-> 214:SER:H
214:SER:CA-> 214:SER:CA
214:SER:HA-> 214:SER:HA
214:SER:CB-> 214:SER:CB
214:SER:2HB-> 214:SER:2HB
214:SER:3HB-> 214:SER:3HB
214:SER:OG-> 214:SER:OG

```

\section*{mutant Y252H to core}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 172:HID: C-> & 172:ASN: C \\
\hline 172:HID: O-> & 172:ASN: \\
\hline 172:HID:N-> & 172:ASN:N \\
\hline 172:HID: H-> & 172:ASN: H \\
\hline 172:HID: CA-> & 172: ASN: CA \\
\hline 172:HID: HA-> & 172: ASN: HA \\
\hline 172:HID: CB-> & 172:ASN: CB \\
\hline 172:HID: \(2 \mathrm{HB}->\) & 172:ASN: 2HB \\
\hline 172:HID:3HB-> & 172:ASN: 3HB \\
\hline 172:HID:CG-> & 172:ASN: CG \\
\hline 172:HID:ND1-> & 172:ASN: OD1 \\
\hline 172:HID: CD2-> & 172:ASN:ND2 \\
\hline 194:HIP:C-> & 194:ASN: C \\
\hline 194:HIP:O-> & 194:ASN: O \\
\hline 194:HIP:N-> & 194:ASN:N \\
\hline 194:HIP:H-> & 194:ASN: H \\
\hline 194:HIP:CA-> & 194: ASN: CA \\
\hline 194:HIP:HA-> & 194 : ASN: HA \\
\hline 194: HIP:CB-> & 194 : ASN: CB \\
\hline 194:HIP: 2HB-> & 194:ASN: 2HB \\
\hline 194: HIP:3HB-> & 194:ASN: 3HB \\
\hline 194:HIP:CG-> & 194:ASN: CG \\
\hline 194:HIP:ND1-> & 194:ASN: OD1 \\
\hline 194:HIP:CD2-> & 194:ASN:ND2 \\
\hline 214:ASN:N-> & 214:SER:N \\
\hline 214:ASN: H-> & 214:SER: H \\
\hline 214 : ASN: CA-> & 214:SER:CA \\
\hline 214 : ASN: HA-> & 214 : SER: HA \\
\hline 214 : ASN: CB-> & 214:SER:CB \\
\hline 214 : ASN: 2HB-> & 214:SER: 2HB \\
\hline 214 : ASN: 3HB-> & 214:SER: 3HB \\
\hline 214 : ASN: CG-> & 214:SER:OG \\
\hline 214 :ASN: C-> & 214:SER: C \\
\hline 214:ASN: O-> & 214:SER: 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Oseltamivir to core}
```

388:UNK:C1-> 388:UNK:C2
388:UNK:C2-> 388:UNK:C5
388:UNK:C3-> 388:UNK:C7
388:UNK:C4-> 388:UNK:C8
388:UNK:C5-> 388:UNK:C1

```
```

388:UNK:C6-> 388:UNK:C4
388:UNK:C7-> 388:UNK:C6
388:UNK:C8-> 388:UNK:C3
388:UNK:C9-> 388:UNK:C9
388:UNK:N1 -> 388:UNK:N10
388:UNK:O1 -> 388:UNK:O11
388:UNK:O3 -> 388:UNK:O13
388:UNK:O2 -> 388:UNK:O12
388:UNK:H21-> 388:UNK:H10

```

\section*{Zanamivir to core}
```

388:UNK:C1-> 388:UNK:C2
388:UNK:C2-> 388:UNK:C5
388:UNK:C3-> 388:UNK:C7
388:UNK:C4-> 388:UNK:C8
388:UNK:C6-> 388:UNK:C4
388:UNK:C7-> 388:UNK:C6
388:UNK:C8-> 388:UNK:C3
388:UNK:C9-> 388:UNK:C9
388:UNK:N15-> 388:UNK:N10
388:UNK:O17-> 388:UNK:O11
388:UNK:O18-> 388:UNK:O12
388:UNK:O19-> 388:UNK:O13
388:UNK:O20-> 388:UNK:C1
388:UNK:H15-> 388:UNK:H10

```

\section*{Appendix 5: mapping files for the SRSM calculations}

\section*{WT to core mapping for H274Y calculations}
```

194:HIP:C-> 194:ASN:C
194:HIP:O-> 194:ASN:O
194:HIP:N-> 194:ASN:N
194:HIP:H-> 194:ASN:H
194:HIP:CA-> 194:ASN:CA
194:HIP:HA-> 194:ASN:HA
194:HIP:CB-> 194:ASN:CB
194:HIP:2HB-> 194:ASN:2HB
194:HIP:3HB-> 194:ASN:3HB
194:HIP:CG-> 194:ASN:CG
194:HIP:ND1-> 194:ASN:OD1
194:HIP:CD2-> 194:ASN:ND2

```

\section*{WT to core mapping for N294S calculations}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 214 : ASN: C-> & 214:SER: C \\
\hline 214:ASN: O-> & 214:SER: 0 \\
\hline 214:ASN:N-> & 214:SER:N \\
\hline 214 :ASN: H-> & 214:SER:H \\
\hline 214 : ASN: CA-> & 214:SER:CA \\
\hline 214 :ASN: HA-> & 214 : SER: HA \\
\hline 214 : ASN: CB-> & 214:SER: CB \\
\hline 214:ASN: 2HB-> & 214:SER: 2 HB \\
\hline 214 : ASN: 3HB-> & 214:SER: 3HB \\
\hline 214 : ASN: CG-> & 214:SER:OG \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{WT to core mapping for Y252H calculations}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 172:TYR:C-> & 172:ASN: C \\
\hline 172:TYR: O-> & 172:ASN: O \\
\hline 172 :TYR:N-> & 172:ASN:N \\
\hline 172:TYR:H-> & 172:ASN: H \\
\hline 172:TYR: CA-> & 172:ASN: CA \\
\hline 172:TYR: HA-> & 172:ASN: HA \\
\hline 172:TYR: CB-> & 172:ASN: CB \\
\hline 172:TYR:2HB-> & 172:ASN: 2 HB \\
\hline 172:TYR:3HB-> & 172:ASN: 3HB \\
\hline 172:TYR: CG-> & 172:ASN: CG \\
\hline 172:TYR:CD1-> & 172:ASN: OD1 \\
\hline 172:TYR:CD2-> & 172:ASN:ND2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
mutant H274Y to core
```

194:TYR:N-> 194:ASN:N
194:TYR:H-> 194:ASN:H
194:TYR:CA-> 194:ASN:CA
194:TYR:HA-> 194:ASN:HA
194:TYR:CB-> 194:ASN:CB
194:TYR:2HB-> 194:ASN:2HB

```
```

194:TYR:3HB-> 194:ASN:3HB
194:TYR:CG-> 194:ASN:CG
194:TYR:CD1-> 194:ASN:OD1
194:TYR:CD2-> 194:ASN:ND2
194:TYR:C-> 194:ASN:C
194:TYR:O-> 194:ASN:O

```

\section*{mutant N294S to core}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 214:SER:C-> & 214:SER: C \\
\hline 214:SER:O-> & 214:SER: 0 \\
\hline 214:SER:N-> & 214:SER:N \\
\hline 214:SER:H-> & 214:SER:H \\
\hline 214:SER:CA-> & 214:SER: CA \\
\hline 214:SER:HA-> & 214:SER: HA \\
\hline 214:SER:CB-> & 214:SER: CB \\
\hline 214 :SER:2HB-> & 214:SER: 2 HB \\
\hline 214:SER:3HB-> & 214:SER:3HB \\
\hline 214:SER:OG-> & 214:SER:OG \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
mutant Y 252 H to core
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 172:HID: \(\mathrm{C}->\) & 172:ASN: C \\
\hline 172:HID: O-> & 172:ASN: O \\
\hline 172:HID:N-> & 172:ASN:N \\
\hline 172:HID: H-> & 172:ASN: H \\
\hline 172:HID: CA-> & 172:ASN: CA \\
\hline 172:HID: HA-> & 172:ASN: HA \\
\hline 172: HID: CB-> & 172:ASN: CB \\
\hline 172:HID: \(2 \mathrm{HB}->\) & 172:ASN: 2 HB \\
\hline 172:HID: 3HB-> & 172:ASN: 3HB \\
\hline 172:HID: CG-> & 172:ASN: CG \\
\hline 172:HID:ND1-> & 172:ASN: OD1 \\
\hline 172:HID:CD2-> & 172:ASN:ND2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}```

