Text S1: Forward and Backward Inference in Spatial Cognition Will D. Penny, Peter Zeidman and Neil Burgess

Local Linearisation

If the deterministic part of the dynamics evolve according to a linear differential equation

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}} = \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{u} \tag{1}$$

then a discrete time update is given by

$$\boldsymbol{x}(t) = \exp(\boldsymbol{A}t)\boldsymbol{x}(0) + \int_0^t \exp(\boldsymbol{A}(t-\tau))\boldsymbol{B}u(\tau)d\tau$$
(2)

For time step n, if we assume that u(t) = 0 except at t = t(n) then we have

$$\boldsymbol{x}_n = \exp(\boldsymbol{A}dt)\boldsymbol{x}_{n-1} + \boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{u}_n \tag{3}$$

where dt is the time step. If u_n is not changing quickly we have $u_n = u_{n-1}$. For nonlinear dynamics

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}} = \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{u}) \tag{4}$$

then we can write

$$\boldsymbol{x}_n = \boldsymbol{F}_n \boldsymbol{x}_{n-1} + \boldsymbol{H}_n \boldsymbol{u}_{n-1} \tag{5}$$

where the flow matrices are given by

$$F_n = \exp(J(f, x)dt)$$

$$H_n = J(f, v)dt$$
(6)

and J(f, x) is the Jacobian matrix of the function f with respect to x (matrix of first derivatives). In forward inference, these are evaluated at $x = m_{n-1}$ and $u = u_{n-1}$ (for known causes) or $u = r_{n-1}$ (for estimated causes).

However, our evaluations of the above approximations for F_n and H_n showed considerable inaccuracies for a range of angles, ϕ . We therefore adopted the following 'local regression' approach which is similar to that proposed by Schaal [28]. This used multiple, typically 10, expansion points sampled from the previous posterior (m_{n-1}, P_{n-1}) . For each, we evaluated the gradient f(x, u) and estimated the next state based on a first order Euler method. We then regressed the next states onto previous states and computed F_n and H_n using least squares regression.